



INSIGHT BRIEFINGS

ENERGY MARKETS OUTLOOK



BEYOND CREDIT RISK Financing Resilience in an Era of Geopolitical Uncertainty

CONTRIBUTORS:

- DANIEL GARCIA IGLESIAS, SR., SENIOR RELATIONSHIP MANAGER, ENERGY & MARINE, NATIONAL BANK OF FUJAIRAH
- NIAMH MCBURNEY, DIRECTOR, CONTROL RISKS
- MIGUEL LEITE, FINANCE DIRECTOR, IRH GLOBAL TRADING
- MATEIN KHALID, BOARD ADVISER AND INVESTOR
- FRANK KANE, EDITOR-AT-LARGE, ARABIAN GULF BUSINESS INSIGHT

As geopolitical instability reshapes commodity flows, shipping costs and liquidity requirements, banks face a new challenge: financing energy trade through prolonged uncertainty without undermining commercial activity or financial stability.

Geopolitical disruption is fundamentally changing the relationship between energy trading, risk management and access to capital. Traditional trade finance models have focused on borrower creditworthiness, counterparty exposure, cargo values and commodity price volatility. Increasingly, however, financing decisions must also account for shipping routes, insurance availability, delivery delays and the working capital required to sustain transactions when physical trade is disrupted.

The central challenge is not simply how banks should charge more for geopolitical risk, but how they can distinguish between transactions that remain commercially viable under disruption and those whose risks can rapidly exceed available liquidity.

The most immediate consequence has been rising demand for working capital. Higher commodity prices, extended shipping schedules and additional insurance and logistics costs increase the amount of financing required to complete transactions. A trade originally structured around a predictable delivery period may require significantly more liquidity when vessels are delayed or alternative routes become necessary.

Banks have continued supporting established commodity trading clients, with additional liquidity requirements emerging as a primary concern. This highlights the importance of specialist banking relationships, detailed knowledge of physical commodity flows and continuous engagement between lenders and trading companies.

Risk pricing must increasingly distinguish between the underlying trade structures rather than treating all energy transactions as equally exposed. A transaction involving limited transportation responsibility carries a fundamentally different risk profile from one requiring the trader to arrange freight, insurance and final delivery. Financing terms should reflect these differences, particularly when shipping disruptions can substantially increase costs and delay repayment.

For trading companies, disciplined risk management remains

essential. Volatility can create profitable opportunities, but only when exposure remains within clearly defined risk appetites and adequate liquidity is available. Moving transactions between exchange-traded and over-the-counter markets may reduce immediate liquidity pressures while increasing counterparty credit exposure, demonstrating how one category of risk can transform into another.

Geopolitical risk itself remains difficult to quantify precisely. Political stability, regulatory exposure, sanctions and security conditions can be assessed through structured models, but sudden escalation and unexpected policy changes cannot be fully predicted. Scenario analysis therefore provides a more practical foundation for financing decisions than reliance on a single geopolitical risk premium.

Compliance and reputational exposure are equally consequential. Sanctions restrictions, opaque ownership structures and uncertain vessel histories can threaten banking relationships even when a transaction appears commercially attractive. Stronger counterparty due diligence, vessel screening and anti-money-laundering controls are becoming integral to trade finance resilience.

The wider financial environment introduces additional uncertainty. Changes in funding costs, capital market liquidity and credit conditions can amplify geopolitical shocks, potentially transmitting stress from financial institutions to commodity traders and the broader economy.

Nevertheless, indiscriminate credit tightening could deepen supply disruptions by restricting financing precisely when working capital requirements are highest. The strategic priority is to develop more differentiated, transaction-specific financing models supported by stronger liquidity planning, rigorous compliance and closer lender-client cooperation. Banks capable of understanding the physical realities of energy trade will be better positioned to manage risk while sustaining essential commodity flows.

Top 10 Strategic Recommendations

01 PRICE RISK ACCORDING TO TRANSACTION STRUCTURE

Differentiate financing terms according to cargo ownership, delivery obligations, freight exposure, insurance responsibilities and repayment timelines, recognizing that physical trading structures determine the true scale of geopolitical risk.

02 EXPAND CONTINGENCY LIQUIDITY FOR DISRUPTED ENERGY TRADE

Structure credit facilities and working capital buffers to accommodate commodity price spikes, extended voyages, delayed settlements and higher insurance costs without forcing otherwise viable trading operations into financial distress.

03 INTEGRATE GEOPOLITICAL SCENARIOS INTO CREDIT ASSESSMENTS

Combine country-risk analysis, regulatory developments, sanctions exposure and maritime security scenarios with conventional credit models, recognizing that geopolitical shocks cannot be captured through fixed risk premiums alone.

04 STRENGTHEN DIRECT ENGAGEMENT BETWEEN BANKS AND TRADERS

Maintain continuous dialogue on cargo movements, counterparties, financing requirements and emerging disruptions, enabling lenders to respond quickly while supporting commercially viable transactions through periods of exceptional uncertainty.

05 MONITOR HOW LIQUIDITY RISK BECOMES CREDIT EXPOSURE

Assess how exchange margin requirements, over-the-counter transactions, delayed cargo settlements and changing counterparty obligations can transform immediate funding pressures into broader credit, solvency and reputational risks.

06 EMBED SANCTIONS COMPLIANCE INTO FINANCING DECISIONS

Strengthen counterparty screening, beneficial ownership verification, vessel-history assessments and anti-money-laundering controls to prevent commercially attractive transactions from creating unacceptable regulatory, reputational or banking exposure.



07 REWARD OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY WITH DIFFERENTIATED FINANCING TERMS

Consider whether traders with diversified shipping routes, alternative ports, strong counterparties and credible contingency plans warrant more favorable financing structures than those dependent on vulnerable logistics arrangements.

08 STRESS-TEST CREDIT PORTFOLIOS AGAINST COMPOUND MARKET SHOCKS

Model simultaneous increases in commodity prices, freight rates, insurance premiums and funding costs, alongside prolonged delivery delays, to identify liquidity shortfalls and potential concentrations of counterparty exposure.

09 PRESERVE CREDIT AVAILABILITY DURING GEOPOLITICAL DISRUPTIONS

Maintain financing support for fundamentally sound commodity traders through appropriate liquidity facilities and regulatory coordination, avoiding indiscriminate credit restrictions that could further disrupt essential energy supply chains.

10 BUILD SPECIALIST EXPERTISE IN PHYSICAL COMMODITY FINANCE

Invest in banking teams capable of understanding trading contracts, vessel movements, cargo logistics, hedging structures and geopolitical exposure, ensuring financing decisions reflect commercial realities rather than credit metrics alone.



Strategic Implications

The future of energy trade finance will be shaped by a fundamental shift from assessing the financial strength of borrowers towards understanding the combined risks of counterparties, physical transactions and geopolitical conditions. Three priorities will become increasingly important:

- First, liquidity preparedness will determine trading continuity. As disruptions extend delivery schedules and increase commodity financing requirements, access to flexible working capital will become an essential competitive advantage.
- Second, transaction-specific risk assessment will become more important than broad geopolitical premiums. Banks that differentiate between delivery obligations, logistics exposure and counterparty structures will be better equipped to allocate capital efficiently.
- Third, compliance and financial resilience will become inseparable. Sanctions uncertainty, opaque counterparties and shifting market conditions will require stronger due diligence, disciplined risk appetites and closer coordination between lenders and traders.

The key to future resilience is to move from simply pricing geopolitical risk towards financing the ability to withstand it. The most competitive trade finance providers will be those capable of maintaining credit availability, protecting balance sheets and supporting the uninterrupted movement of essential commodities, even when conventional assumptions about geography, logistics and market stability no longer hold. ■